TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
	ABSTRACT	1
I.	INTRODUCTION	2
II.	DESCRIPTION OF THE EXPERIMENT	5
III.	EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES	12
	III.1. Rf and RG discharges in hydrogen at low pressures	12
	III.1.a. The rf discharge	12
	III.1.b. The RG discharge	14
	i) Initation and quenching pressures	14
	ii) Influence of the rf power level	17
	iii) Influence of the pressure	17
	iV) Comparison of RG and simple glow discharges and effect of admixed inert gases	20
	III.1.c. Cleaning of the ports and side-arms	20
	III.2. Temperature of the Quadrupole By-Pass and of the connection tube	20
	III.2.a. Effect of the hydrogen pressure in the QBP	23
	III.2.b. Temperature of the connection tube	23
	III.3. Beginning and end of a cleaning run	27
	III.4. Temperature of the portholes and side-arms	30
	III.5. Reoxidation and outgasing procedures	31
	III.6. Measurement of parametric dependences	32
IV.	FLUXES TO THE WALLS	33
	IV.1. Hydrogen flux; Langmuir effect	33
	IV.2. Destruction of the reaction products by the plasma of the discharge	38
	IV.3. Thermal reoxidation of the wall by H_2O vapour	40
V.	FIRST CLEANING OF THE SURFACES	42
	V.1. Time history of the apparatus	42
	V.2. Arc spots	43
	V.3. Impurity release from fresh surfaces	44

VI. SURFACE DEOXIDATION BY RF AND RG DISCHARGES IN HYDROGEN 49

VI.1. Description of a typical experiment 49

a) Sequence of operations 49

b) Discussion of the mass spectra - identification of the gaseous products 49

c) Evolution of P_{18} and P_{28} 51

VI.2. Comparison with other cleaning runs; initial clean-down time τ_{cd} 53

VI.3. Variation with the wall temperature T_W 55

VI.3. Effect of the current I_{GD} of the glow discharge 55

VI.5. Influence of the hydrogen pressure in the RG discharge on the partial pressure of water 58

VI.6. Influence of the pump speed Sp 60

VI.6.a. Experiments using the throttle valve TV 1 60

VI.6.b. Influence of the cryopump CF 63

VI.7. Admixed noble gases 67

VI.8. Admixed methane 67

VI.9. An optimized cleaning run 71

VII. DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS 72

VII.1. Sputtering, arcs and carbon removal 72

VII.2 Water release 76

VII.2.a. General remarks 76

VII.2.b. A simplified model for the deoxidation reaction in the RG discharge 77

VII.3. Discussion of the parametric variation of the cleaning rate v_p 80

a) Variation as a function of the filling pressure P_2 of hydrogen 80

b) Variation as a function of the current density j_{GD} 80

c) Variation as a function of the pump speed (using the throttle valve TV 1) 81

d) Variation produced by the cryopump CF 81

e) Variation as a function of the wall temperature T_W 82

VII.4. Water release at lower wall temperatures and in the QBP 85

VIII. CONCLUSIONS 86

Acknowledgements 88

References 89

FIGURE CAPTIONS PAGE

Fig 1. Experimental apparatus 6

Fig 2. Residual gas spectrum in the QBP 8

Fig. 3. Pump speeds for gases of different masses at 200 °C 9

Fig 4. Pump speed for H_2 as a function of pressure A: TV1 open B: TV1 closed 10

Fig 5a. Lowest pressures at which hydrogen plasmas can be ignited and sustained respectively, using rf power only 13

Fig 5b. Floating potential and flux density of hydrogen into the wall as a function of the rf - power; (radiofrequency discharge) 13

Fig 6a. Minimum pressure to initiate the RG discharge in H_2 as a function of the initially applied dc voltage for different values of the rf power 15

Fig 6b. Minimum pressure at which an RG plasma can be sustained, as a function of the initially applied voltage for different values of the rf power 15

Fig 7. Lowest pressures at which a plasma can be initiated and sustained in a simple glow discharge and in an RG discharge with 32 W of rf power 16

Fig 8. Voltage current characteristics and floating potential at $P_2 = 4 \times 10^{-3}$ for two values of the rf power 18

Fig 9. Voltage - current characteristics and floating potential for RG-discharges at W_{rf} = 32 Watt and various H_2 pressures 19

Fig 10. Voltage - current - characteristics and floating potential of RG discharge and of a pure glow discharge (at higher pressures) 21

Fig 11. Voltage - characteristics and floating potential for RG discharges with various H_2-Ne mixtures 22

Fig 12. Evolution of the partial pressures of methane, water and CO after direct admission of pure H_2 in the RGA, T_W = 35 °C 24

Fig 13. Evolution of the partial pressures of methane, water and CO after direct admission of pure H_2 in the RGA, T_W = 160 °C 25

Fig 14. Variation of the H_2O signal in the RGA after introduction of H_2O vapour at constant inlet pressure. QBP and CT at room temperature 26

Fig 15. Variation of the characteristic time τ_{CT} for water vapour transfer across the connection tube as a function of its temperature T_{CT} 28

PAGE

Fig 16. Variation of the water signal U_{18} in the RGA after a rapid variation of P_{18} in the GDV (at t=0). T_{QBP} = 160 °C, T_{CT} = 180 °C 29

Fig 17. Illustrating the procedure used to measure the variation of P_{18} as function of a parameter X 32

Fig 18. Observed decrease of the H_2 pressure in the GDV at the start of the RG discharge: "Langmuir effect" 35

Fig 19. Flux density $\alpha\varphi_i$ of atomic hydrogen penetrating into the wall as a function of the RG - current 37

Fig 20. Rates at which CH_4 is destroyed by the rf and RG discharges 39

Fig 21. Rate at which NH_3 is destroyed by the rf and RG discharges 39

Fig 22. First clean-down of the GDV: decrease of H_2O and CO partial pressures 45

Fig 23. Impurity release at the end of the first clean-down of the GDV by a RG discharge 46

Fig 24. Release of impurity gases in an RG discharge after the introduction of a new element into the GDV 48

Fig 25. Evolution of the residual gas spectra during the successive phases of an experiment 50

Fig 26. H_2O and CO release from a freshly oxidized SS surface in a RG discharge 52

Fig 27. Final clean down phase of the vessel at T_W = 200 °C 54

Fig 28. Variation of the partial pressure P_{18} of water in the RG discharge as a function of the wall temperature T_W 56

Fig 29. Variation of the partial pressure of water P_{18} as a function of the glow discharge current 57

Fig 30. Variation of the partial pressure of water P_{18} in the GDV and of the pump speed Sp with the hydrogen pressure P_2 in the RG discharge 59

Fig 31. "Gedankenexperiment" illustrating measurements with the throttle valve TV1 60

Fig 32. Expected variations of the hydrogen concentrations n_2 in B and ν_2 in M 61

Fig 33. Expected variation of the water concentration ν_{18} in M and of the total concentration $n \approx n_2$ in B 62

Fig 34. Influence of the roughing speed on the elimination rate of water 64

Fig 35. Effect of the LN_2 cryopump on the elimination rate of water 65

PAGE

Fig 36. Effect of the LN_2 cryopump on the elimination rate of water at the end of the cleaning run illustrated on fig. 26. 66

Fig 37. Cracking of CH_4 in a RG discharge and corresponding appearance of CO with walls at room temperature 1 % CH_4 + 99 % H_2 68

Fig 38. Cracking of CH_4 in a RG discharge and corresponding appearance of CO with oxidized walls at room temperature: 2,5 % CH_4 + 97,5 % H_2 69

Fig 39. The beginning of an "optimized" cleaning run 73

Fig 40. Variation of n_{18} as a function of I_{GD}: a) without LN_2 in the cryopump, b) with LN_2 in the cryopump CF 83