

In addition, the ICRU is evaluating the possibility of expanding its program to encompass nonionizing radiation, particularly the quantities and units aspects.

The Commission continually reviews radiation science with the aim of identifying areas where the development of guidance and recommendations can make an important contribution.

THE ICRU'S RELATIONSHIP WITH OTHER ORGANIZATIONS

In addition to its close relationship with the ICRP, the ICRU has developed relationships with other organizations interested in the problems of radiation quantities, units, and measurements. Since 1955, the ICRU has had an official relationship with the World Health Organization (WHO), whereby the ICRU is looked to for primary guidance in matters of radiation units and measurements and, in turn, the WHO assists in the worldwide dissemination of the Commission's recommendations. In 1960, the ICRU entered into consultative status with the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The Commission has a formal relationship with the United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation (UNSCEAR), whereby ICRU observers are invited to attend annual UNSCEAR meetings. The Commission and the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) informally exchange notifications of meetings, and the ICRU is formally designated for liaison with two of the ISO technical committees. The ICRU also enjoys a strong relationship with its sister organization, the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP). In essence, these organizations were founded concurrently by the same individuals. Presently, this long-standing relationship is formally acknowledged by a special liaison agreement. The ICRU also corresponds and exchanges final reports with the following organizations:

- Bureau International de Métrologie Légale
- Bureau International des Poids et Mesures
- European Commission
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Sciences
- Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations
- International Committee of Photobiology
- International Council of Scientific Unions
- International Electrotechnical Commission
- International Labor Office
- International Organization for Medical Physics
- International Radiation Protection Association

International Union of Pure and Applied Physics  
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

The Commission has found its relationship with all of these organizations fruitful and of substantial benefit to the ICRU program.

OPERATING FUNDS

In recent years, principal financial support has been provided by the European Commission, the National Cancer Institute of the US Department of Health and Human Services and the International Atomic Energy Agency. In addition, during the last 10 years, financial support has been received from the following organizations:

- American Association of Physicists in Medicine
- Belgian Nuclear Research Centre
- Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission
- Eastman Kodak Company
- Electricité de France
- Fuji Medical Systems
- GSF-Forschungszentrum für Umwelt un Gesundheit
- Hitachi, Ltd.
- International Radiation Protection Association
- International Society of Radiology
- Ion Beam Applications
- Italian Radiological Association
- Japan Industries Association of Radiological Systems
- Japanese Society of Radiological Technology
- MDS Nordion
- National Institute of Standards and Technology
- Nederlandse Vereniging voor Radiologie
- Ohio State University
- Philips Medical Systems, Incorporated
- Radiation Research Society
- Siemens
- Varian

In addition to the direct monetary support provided by these organizations, many organizations provide indirect support for the Commission's program. This support is provided in many forms, including, among others, subsidies for (1) the time of individuals participating in ICRU activities, (2) travel costs involved in ICRU meetings, and (3) meeting facilities and services.

In recognition of the fact that its work is made possible by the generous support provided by all of the organizations supporting its program, the Commission expresses its deep appreciation.

Paul M. DeLuca  
Chairman, ICRU  
Madison, Wisconsin, USA

ELASTIC SCATTERING OF ELECTRONS AND POSITRONS  
CONTENTS

PREFACE . . . . .	1
ABSTRACT . . . . .	3
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY . . . . .	5
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS . . . . .	7
LIST OF SYMBOLS . . . . .	9
1 INTRODUCTION . . . . .	11
1.1 Applications of data to be given . . . . .	11
1.2 Nomenclature . . . . .	11
1.2.1 Quantities and units . . . . .	11
1.3 General characteristics of cross-sections for elastic scattering of electrons and positrons . . . . .	13
1.4 Broader perspective . . . . .	13
1.5 Scope of the report . . . . .	14
1.5.1 Materials treated . . . . .	14
1.5.2 Range of kinetic energies . . . . .	15
2 EXPERIMENTAL METHODS . . . . .	17
2.1 Gases (atoms and molecules) . . . . .	17
2.1.1 Electron-swarm experiments . . . . .	17
2.1.2 Attenuation and crossed-beam experiments . . . . .	18
2.1.3 Comparison of the two techniques . . . . .	19
2.2 Condensed phases . . . . .	20
2.2.1 Electron-energy regions of interest . . . . .	21
2.2.2 Electron energies lower than 30 eV . . . . .	22
2.2.2.1 Low-energy electron transmission spectroscopy (LEET) . . . . .	23
2.2.2.2 High-resolution reflectivity measurements . . . . .	24
2.2.2.3 Method for analysis of high-resolution reflectivity measurements . . . . .	24
2.2.3 Electron energies between 100 eV and 100 keV . . . . .	26
2.2.3.1 Measurement of electron inelastic mean-free paths by elastic-peak electron spectroscopy (EPES) . . . . .	26
2.2.3.2 Analysis of elastic-scattering data from single-crystal surfaces and adsorbates . . . . .	27
2.2.3.3 Measurement of electron attenuation lengths by Auger-electron spectroscopy and x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy . . . . .	28
2.2.3.4 Measurement of electron backscattering yields and the energy distributions and angular distributions of backscattered electrons . . . . .	28

2.2.3.5	Measurement of the angular distribution of photoelectrons . . . . .	29
2.2.3.6	Measurement of x-ray spectra . . . . .	30
2.2.3.7	Measurement of Auger-electron spectra, x-ray photoelectron spectra, and reflection electron energy-loss spectra . . . . .	30
3	THEORETICAL BACKGROUND . . . . .	31
3.1	Basic concepts and formal theory of scattering . . . . .	32
3.2	The effective interaction potential for atoms . . . . .	33
3.2.1	Nuclear charge distributions . . . . .	34
3.2.2	Screening of the nuclear charge by atomic electrons . . . . .	35
3.2.3	Electron-exchange effects . . . . .	39
3.2.4	Positron-specific features . . . . .	40
3.2.4.1	The sign of the interaction potential . . . . .	40
3.2.4.2	Positronium formation . . . . .	41
3.2.4.3	Positron annihilation . . . . .	41
3.2.5	Differential cross-section and spin polarization . . . . .	41
3.3	Optical-model potentials . . . . .	42
3.3.1	Correlation-polarization potential . . . . .	43
3.3.2	Absorption potential . . . . .	44
3.4	Elastic scattering by ions . . . . .	45
3.5	Elastic scattering by molecules . . . . .	45
3.5.1	Independent-atom approximation . . . . .	46
3.6	Scattering in the condensed phase . . . . .	47
3.6.1	Elastic scattering from single crystals . . . . .	47
3.6.2	Elastic scattering from disordered solids . . . . .	50
3.6.3	Coherent low-energy electron scattering in the solid phase . . . . .	52
3.6.4	Incoherent low-energy electron scattering in the solid phase . . . . .	52
3.7	Resonance electron scattering in the condensed phase . . . . .	53
4	CALCULATIONS FOR ATOMS USED FOR THE DATA GENERATION . . . . .	57
4.1	Choice of the interaction potential for atoms . . . . .	57
4.2	Numerical calculation methods . . . . .	58
4.2.1	Solution of the radial equations . . . . .	58
4.2.2	The reduced-series method . . . . .	60
4.3	Properties of the phase shifts . . . . .	62
4.4	High-energy factorization . . . . .	65
4.4.1	High-energy screening . . . . .	65
4.4.2	Finite nuclear-size effects . . . . .	69
4.5	Cross-sections of atoms . . . . .	74
4.6	Comparison with more elaborate calculations . . . . .	75
5	EXPERIMENTAL DATA . . . . .	77
5.1	Atoms and molecules . . . . .	77
5.1.1	Differential cross sections of atoms for electron energies lower than 5 keV . . . . .	77
5.1.1.1	Hydrogen . . . . .	77
5.1.1.2	Noble gases and mercury . . . . .	77
5.1.2	Total cross-sections . . . . .	78
5.1.3	Spin polarization . . . . .	79
5.1.4	Electron energies higher than 50 keV . . . . .	81
5.1.5	Electron scattering by water molecules . . . . .	83
5.2	Results from condensed-phase experiments . . . . .	87
5.2.1	Mean-free paths determined from LEET experiments . . . . .	88

5.2.2	Scattering probabilities per unit length and cross-sections determined from HREEL experiments . . . . .	91
5.2.3	Relationship between quasi-elastic scattering and the electronic band-structure of solids . . . . .	98
5.3	Indirect validation methods . . . . .	99
5.3.1	Determinations of electron inelastic mean-free paths by elastic-peak electron spectroscopy . . . . .	99
5.3.2	Analysis of low-energy electron diffraction measurements . . . . .	100
5.3.3	Measurement of backscattered yields and the energy and angular distributions of backscattered electrons . . . . .	100
5.3.4	Measurements of angular distributions of photoelectrons . . . . .	101
5.3.5	Measurements of x-ray spectra . . . . .	103
5.3.6	Measurements of Auger-electron spectra and x-ray photoelectron spectra . . . . .	104
5.3.7	Summary . . . . .	104
6	MULTIPLE-SCATTERING ANGULAR DEFLECTIONS . . . . .	105
6.1	Goudsmit–Saunderson multiple-scattering distribution . . . . .	105
6.1.1	The Wentzel DCS model . . . . .	107
6.2	Multiple scattering distributions with energy loss . . . . .	108
6.3	Contribution of inelastic collisions . . . . .	110
6.4	Influence of elastic-scattering data on multiple-scattering calculations . . . . .	114
7	DATA PRESENTATION . . . . .	117
7.1	Validation of the code system ELSEPA . . . . .	117
7.2	Energy range of validity . . . . .	118
7.3	Structure of the database . . . . .	118
7.3.1	Auxiliary programs . . . . .	119
7.4	Graphical interface . . . . .	119
8	CONCLUDING REMARKS . . . . .	123
APPENDIX A	RELATIVISTIC KINEMATICS . . . . .	125
APPENDIX B	THE DIRAC EQUATION . . . . .	126
B.1	Dirac plane waves . . . . .	126
B.1.1	Polarized electron beams . . . . .	128
B.2	Central fields . . . . .	129
APPENDIX C	QUANTUM THEORY OF SCATTERING BY A CENTRAL POTENTIAL . . . . .	131
C.1	Non-relativistic (Schrödinger) theory . . . . .	131
C.1.1	Partial-wave series . . . . .	132
C.1.2	Born approximation . . . . .	134
C.1.3	WKB approximation for the phase shifts . . . . .	135
C.1.4	The eikonal approximation . . . . .	136
C.1.5	Scattering by a Coulomb field . . . . .	137
C.2	Relativistic (Dirac) theory . . . . .	138
C.2.1	Partial-wave expansion . . . . .	139
C.2.2	Differential cross-section . . . . .	140
C.2.3	Born approximation . . . . .	141
C.2.4	WKB approximation for the phase shifts . . . . .	143

C.2.5	<i>The eikonal approximation</i> . . . . .	144
C.2.6	<i>Scattering by a Coulomb field</i> . . . . .	145
C.2.7	<i>Modified Coulomb fields</i> . . . . .	148
REFERENCES . . . . .		151

PREFACE

The present Report treats cross-section data for elastic scattering of electrons and positrons traversing various materials. Those data are prerequisite for transport analyses through either Monte Carlo simulations or analytic transport theory. On issuing the Report, we cannot help first thinking of Martin J. Berger, a member of the Report Committee who died on 6 November 2004. A pioneer in studies of electron and photon transport in matter through Monte Carlo simulations, Martin contributed enormously to theoretical dosimetry and many applications of concern to the ICRU, as described in the obituary appearing in the ICRU News 2005 #1. Naturally, Martin played the leadership role in the initial conception of the Report and during its preparation by generously offering deep knowledge and sound judgment stemming from decades of experience. The high level of scholarship that we hope the reader will perceive in the following pages is largely attributable to Martin’s wisdom and his influence on the Report Committee.

The theme of the Report represents an important step in the continuing endeavor of the radiation physics community toward establishing basic physical data necessary for theoretical dosimetry, by which we mean fully detailed characterization of the delivery of the energy of ionizing radiation to matter. As a leader and a major participant of this endeavor, the ICRU fostered work on basic physical data pertinent to ionizing radiation. The work so far has resulted in the following reports: Report 31, *Average Energy Required to Produce an Ion Pair* (1979); Report 37, *Stopping Powers for Electrons and Positrons* (1984); Report 46, *Photon, Electron, Proton and Neutron Interaction Data for Body Tissues* (1992); Report 49, *Stopping Powers and Ranges for Protons and Alpha Particles* (1993); Report 55, *Secondary Electron Spectra from Charged Particle Interactions* (1995); Report 63, *Nuclear Data for Neutron and Proton Radiotherapy and for Radiation Protection* (2000); and Report 73, *Stopping of Ions Heavier than Helium* (2005).

Stopping powers of materials for charged particles, treated in Reports 37, 49, and 73, indicate the mean energy losses from the particles per unit path length and thus are crucial in determining how deep the particles penetrate into the materials. A major fraction of the energy losses turns into the kinetic energies of secondary electrons, as fully discussed in Report 55. The differential cross-section for elastic scattering of electrons by atoms and molecules in the materials describes how much the direction of electron motion changes upon each elastic-scattering event. Consequently, it governs the spatial characteristics of electron tracks, and hence of the energy delivery to matter. Here lies the significance of the extensive numerical data presented in the present Report.

In effect, the reports cited above form a series. By its nature, the general theme of this series of reports must be continuously pursued, to make certain that our recommendations remain scientifically valid, internationally acceptable, and therefore meaningful. In other words, the topics of this series of reports must be revisited and updated from time to time in the light of new scientific knowledge. With this idea in mind, the ICRU is beginning a new program for reviewing key data, that is, carefully selected kinds of data concerning selected materials that are most important as theoretical input in the realization of measurement standards for ionizing radiation.

Finally, we are sad to note the death of Lewis V. Spencer on 11 November 2005, who was a pioneer in theoretical dosimetry and in electron-transport theory and was awarded the Gray Medal by the ICRU in 1969. The ICRU dedicates the present volume to the memory of Lew, as an expression of the deepest respect for his scientific achievements and of the warmest affection to him.

André Wambersie  
Mitio Inokuti  
Stephen M. Seltzer