

Table of Contents

Foreword	XIII
Introduction	XV
<i>Theory</i>	
1 Hybrid simulation: A historical perspective <i>M. Nakashima, J. McCormick & T. Wang</i>	3
1 Introduction	3
2 Early evolution of hybrid simulation	4
2.1 Initial development of hybrid simulation	4
2.1.1 Experimental error propagation	4
2.1.2 Integration algorithms	5
2.2 Substructuring in hybrid simulation	5
2.3 Real-time hybrid simulation	6
3 Current status of hybrid simulation	7
4 Role of hybrid simulation in structural engineering	10
4.1 Comparison of hybrid simulation versus conventional quasi-static loading tests	10
4.2 Comparison of hybrid simulation versus shake table tests	10
4.3 Pseudodynamic hybrid simulation versus real-time hybrid simulation	11
5 Conclusions	11
2 Trajectory exploration approach to hybrid simulation <i>M.V. Sivaselvan & J. Hauser</i>	15
1 Trajectory exploration approach to hybrid simulation	15
2 Model of a uniaxial shaking table	17
3 Full physical system model	19
4 Hybrid system model	19
5 Two point boundary value problem (TPBVP) for periodic trajectories	19
6 Solving the periodic least squares optimal control problem	21
6.1 Strategy 1	21
6.2 Strategy 2	21
7 Summary and concluding remarks	24

3	Integration schemes for real-time hybrid testing <i>P. Benson Shing</i>	25
1	Introduction	25
2	Real-time hybrid test methods	26
3	Integration schemes	28
3.1	Implicit schemes with iteration	28
3.2	Explicit schemes	31
3.3	Unconditionally stable schemes with no iteration	32
4	Conclusions	33
4	Assessment of experimental errors in hybrid simulation of seismic structural response <i>G. Mosqueda, T.Y. Yang & B. Stojadinovic</i>	35
1	Introduction	35
2	Experimental errors	36
3	Energy balance for hybrid simulation	37
3.1	Source of energy errors	37
3.2	Hybrid simulation error monitors	38
4	Large-scale test of a suspended zipper braced frame	39
5	Experimental results and assessment of errors	41
6	Conclusions	43
5	Hard versus soft real time hybrid simulations: An experimental assessment <i>E. Stauffer, G. Haussmann & K. Smith</i>	47
1	Introduction	47
2	A linear damper model for algorithm validation	48
3	A simple hybrid model	49
4	Importance of realtime in damper based hybrid simulation	51
5	Conclusion	53
6	Variations in physical test equipment and computer simulation tools for civil structural hybrid simulation <i>S.M. Jiran</i>	55
1	Introduction	55
1.1	Hybrid simulation technology	55
1.2	Laboratory test equipment technology	55
1.3	Computer simulation tools technology	56

2	Conventional civil-structural and seismic testing techniques	57
2.1	Full dynamic testing	57
2.2	Pseudodynamic testing	57
3	Civil hybrid simulation	59
3.1	Hybrid simulation	59
4	Quasi-static hybrid simulation	61
4.1	Motivation	61
4.2	Physical test equipment	62
4.3	Computer simulation tools	62
5	Real-time hybrid simulation	63
5.1	Scope of the simulation	63
5.2	Physical test equipment	64
5.3	Computer simulation tools	64
6	Conclusions	64
7	Real-time hybrid experimental system with actuator delay compensation <i>Y. Dozono & T. Horiuchi</i>	65
1	Introduction	65
2	Overview of hybrid experiment	66
3	Actuator-delay compensation	67
3.1	Dynamic characteristics of hydraulic actuators	67
3.2	Method for compensating actuator delay	68
3.3	Limitation of proposed method	69
3.4	Application to a multi-degree-of-freedom system	70
4	Verification test of compensation method	72
4.1	Experimental method	72
4.2	Evaluation of stability limit	72
4.3	Evaluation of compensation method	74
5	Conclusion	75
 <i>Laboratory facilities</i>		
8	Continuous pseudo-dynamic testing at ELSA <i>P. Pegon, F.J. Molina & G. Magonette</i>	79
1	Introduction	79

VIII

2	The classical and the continuous PSD method	80
3	Hardware and software at ELSA	83
4	Continuous testing with substructuring: monolithic and distributed approaches	84
5	Concluding remarks	88
9	Hybrid testing facilities in Korea <i>Chul-Young Kim, Young-Suk Park & Jae-Kwan Kim</i>	91
1	KOCED and testing facilities	91
1.1	KOCED program	91
1.2	KOCED testing facilities	93
2	Hybrid structural testing center (HySTeC)	94
2.1	Introduction	94
2.2	Testing equipments and software	95
2.3	Research activities	96
3	Concluding remarks	97
10	NEES@Lehigh: Real-time hybrid pseudodynamic testing of large-scale structures <i>O. Mercan & J.M. Ricles</i>	99
1	Introduction	99
2	Real time hybrid PSD testing	100
2.1	NEES RTMD facility	100
2.2	Real-time hybrid PSD testing algorithm	101
2.3	MDOF Real-time hybrid PSD test setup	103
2.4	Effects of time delay in restoring force and velocity feed forward compensation	104
2.5	Real-time hybrid PSD test results	106
2.6	Determination of damper requirements to meet design criteria	107
3	Conclusions	108
11	CU-NEES fast hybrid testing facility <i>G.J. Haussmann, V.E. Saouma & E. Stauffer</i>	111
1	Introduction	111
2	Motivation	112
3	Laboratory description	113
3.1	Physical facility	113
3.2	Data communication	113

3.3	Software	115
3.4	Five levels of simulation	115
3.5	Integration scheme	116
4	Desktop FHT	118
5	Representative projects	119
5.1	Zipper Frame	119
5.2	Magnetorheological damper	119
5.3	Modification of the hybrid test method	120
6	Current research/development	121
12	Real-time substructure testing on distributed shaking tables in CEA Saclay <i>J.C. Quéval, A. Le Maoult, U.E. Dorka & V.T. Nguyen</i>	123
1	Introduction	123
2	Development of the control system in CEA Saclay for real-time substructure testing	124
3	The tested model	125
4	Simulation of model and RTST of TMD and plan of tests	127
5	Discussion	131
13	Networked hybrid simulation of large-scale structures in Taiwan <i>K.C. Tsai, S.H. Hsieh, Y.S. Yang & K.J. Wang</i>	133
1	Introduction	133
1.1	Background	133
1.2	Efforts on networked hybrid simulation	133
1.3	Efforts in Taiwan	134
2	Framework of the database approach	134
2.1	Data Center	134
2.2	Analysis Engine	135
2.3	Facility Controllers	136
3	A simulation example using ISEE database approach	137
3.1	Brief description of DSCFT-2006 hybrid simulation	137
3.2	Timing statistics	137
4	Framework of the application protocol approach	138
4.1	Platform for Networked Structural Experiments (PNSE)	138
4.2	Networked Structural Experiment Protocol	139
4.3	Characteristics of PNSE	139

4.3.1	Environment independent	139
4.3.2	Event-reflective	139
4.3.3	Efficient data transmission	139
4.3.4	Simplified communication and high extensibility	140
4.3.5	High flexibility to support versatile test configurations	140
4.3.6	High resuming ability after accidental disruptions	140
5	Experimental validation of application protocol approach	140
6	Comparisons with the database approach	142
7	Conclusions	143
14	Real time substructuring in the UK <i>P.A. Bonnet, A. Blakeborough, M.S. Williams & C.A. Taylor</i>	145
1	Introduction	145
2	Laboratory facilities	146
3	Three DOF mass-spring rig tests	147
4	NEFOREEE test program	148
4.1	Displacement feedback algorithm—numerical model of the frame	149
4.2	Control loops and tuning	151
4.3	Earthquake records and results	152
5	Conclusions	153
 <i>Applications</i>		
15	UI-SIMCOR: A global platform for hybrid distributed simulation <i>O.S. Kwon, A.S. Elnashai & B.F. Spencer</i>	157
1	Introduction	157
2	Theoretical formulation and implementation	158
2.1	Conceptual background	158
2.2	System software architecture	160
2.3	Simulation procedure and data flow	162
2.4	Communication protocols	162
3	Framework validation with three-site hybrid simulation	162
4	Multi-site soil-structure-foundation interaction test	164
5	Conclusions	165

16	Real-time hybrid simulation of a seismically excited structure with large-scale Magneto-Rheological fluid dampers <i>R. Christenson & Y.Z. Lin</i>	169
1	Introduction	169
2	Real-time hybrid simulation components	170
2.1	Simulated component	170
2.2	Physical component	171
3	Real-time hybrid simulation of MR fluid dampers	172
4	MR damper real-time hybrid simulation results	175
5	Conclusions	178
17	Hybrid simulation at CRIEPI: Applications to soil structure interaction <i>K. Ohtomo, M. Sakai, Y. Dozono & M. Fukuyama</i>	181
1	Introduction	181
2	Testing facility	182
3	General concept	183
4	Development	184
4.1	Direct time integration scheme	184
4.2	Linear stiffness matrix representation	185
5	Comparison between hybrid simulation and a shake table test	186
5.1	Method	186
5.2	Results	187
6	Future development	188
7	Conclusions	188
18	Hybrid simulations of nonlinear reinforced concrete frames <i>F. Ragueneau, A. Soud, A. Delaplace & R. Desmorat</i>	191
1	Introduction	191
2	Pseudo-dynamic testing	192
2.1	General scheme	192
2.2	Sub-structuring	193
2.3	Numerical implementation and multifibre analysis	193
3	Anisotropic damage model for concrete	194
3.1	Elasticity coupled with anisotropic damage	195

3.2	Damage threshold function	196
3.3	Damage evolution laws	196
3.4	Model responses	197
3.5	Numerical implementation	197
4	Experimental tests on RC structures	198
5	Conclusions	200
19	Hybrid testing in aerospace and ground vehicle development <i>H. Van der Auweraer, A. Vecchio, B. Peeters, S. Dom & P. Mas</i>	203
1	Problem formulation	203
1.1	The virtual prototype engineering paradigm	203
1.2	Combining test and simulation to deliver engineering innovation	204
2	Hybrid testing and simulation	205
2.1	Hybrid load analysis	205
2.2	Hybrid structural models	205
2.3	Hybrid vibro-acoustic models	209
2.4	Hybrid acoustic radiation models	210
2.5	Software- and hardware-in-the-loop models	211
3	Conclusions	213
20	Hybrid testing & simulation—the next step in verification of mechanical requirements in the aerospace industry <i>L. Ayari</i>	215
1	Introduction	215
2	Application of hybrid testing	217
3	The problem of overstress	218
3.1	Design loads & environments—industry's definitions	218
3.2	Notching the response	219
3.3	Response-limitation	219
3.4	Force-limited vibration testing	219
4	Other vibration input & load measurement devices	220
5	Model-test correlation and real time modal identification (RTM)	221
6	Six-DOF testing capability	222
7	Conclusions	223
	Subject index	225