Contents

Preface		vii
Α.	Summary	1
	1. Model	1
	2. List of data	ĺ
	(a) Bone	1
	(b) Calcium	1
	(c) Strontium (d) Barium	2 2 2 2 2 2 3
	(e) Radium	2
	3. Results	2
	4. Intended uses	2
	5. Estimate of accuracy	3
	6. Comparison with existing models	3
В.	Introduction	3
	1. Method	4
	2. Organization of this report	4
C.	Non-mathematical Description of the Model	5
	1. History	5
	2. The new whole body retention function	9
	3. Nine postulates of the new model	10
	I. The excretion postulate	10
	II. The retention postulate	_ 10
	III. The age-invariance postulate	10
	IV. The equilibration postulateV. The compact-cancellous postulate	10 11
	VI. The diminution-resorption postulate	11
	VII. The new bone postulate	13
	VIII. The old bone postulate	13
	IX. The bone surfaces postulate	13
D.	Mathematical Details	14
	1. Subdivision of activity within the model	14
	2. List of the retention functions	14
	3. Local retention functions in detail	14
	(a) Bone volume	14
	(b) Bone surface (c) Erection of home volume activity deposited in compact home	15
	(c) Fraction of bone volume activity deposited in compact bone(d) Blood activity	15 16
	(e) Derivation of function θ	. 16
	(f) Deposition of activity in cancellous bone volume	17
	(g) Complete functions for bone volume	18
	(h) Soft tissue	18
	4. Continuous dietary intake	18

iv CONTENTS

F. Terminology

1. List of parameters and variables

	5. Observed ratio model (a) Discrimination factors (b) Discrimination	19 19 20
	(b) Discussion 6. How to use results to calculate doses	21
	(a) Cells at risk for radiation carcinogenesis in bone	22
	7. Subsidiary calculations	22
	(a) Relation between power function slope, b, and coefficient of diffusion, D	22
	(b) Calculation of radioisotope uptake in bone volume as a function of age using microscopic	24
	measurements of diffuse uptake	24 26
	(c) The generation distribution of remodeling bone	27
	(d) Relation between discrimination factors in bone, body, and soft tissue	28
	(e) Recycling correction, r	
E.	Comparison of Model With Data for Normal Man	29
	1. Bone data (see also Figs. 2-7)	30
	(a) The number of osteons in human compact bone as a function of age	30
	(b) Tetracycline data for rates of bone formation in man	34
	(c) Microradiographic measurements of bone formation surface in normal man	36
	2. Calcium data (see also Figs. 8-11)	37
	(a) Radiocalcium in human plasma	37
	(b) 45Ca at human bone surfaces	38 41
	3. Strontium data (see also Figs. 12–17)	41
	 (a) Whole body counting of ⁸⁵Sr (Fig. 12) (b) Whole body counting and excretion collection for ⁹⁰Sr dial painters (Fig. 12) 	42
	(c) Radiostrontium in blood plasma	42
	(d) Stable strontium in bone	44
	(e) Soft tissue strontium (see also Fig. 14)	44
	(f) Fallout ⁹⁰ Sr (Figs 16 and 17)	44
	Continuous intake calculation	45
	Treatment of diet data	46
	Treatment of bone data	47 49
	Value of long-term measurements of 90Sr in normal human bone	51
	Ratios of activity per gram calcium in individual bones (see Fig. 17)	51
	4. Barium data (see Figs. 18–19) (no other discussion)	51
	5. Radium data (see also Figs. 20–28) (a) Existing models of radium retention—comparison with new model	51
	(b) Detailed reanalysis of Elgin data for retention, R	52
	Radon retention in man	54
	Timing of radium injections	54
	Number of injections	54
	Case L	56
	Case T	56 56
	Retention measurements in tables 22 and 23	58
	Multiple injection correction (MULTCOR) An effect of age at radium intake upon subsequent retention	59
	(c) Rate of change of retention	60
	(d) Radium in blood	62
	Short-term	62
	Long-term	62
	(e) Continuous radium intake in Stateville prisoners	63
	(f) Natural levels of ²²⁶ Ra in body	64 64
	(g) Radium in soft tissue	64
	(h) Autoradiographic measurements of resorption	65
	(i) Autoradiographic measurements of diffuse/uniform label	66
	(j) Modified model—(tentative)(k) Diminution of radium in hotspots in Elgin cases	67
	(K) Diminution of faction in horspots in Light cases	
		70
10	Tamminology	68

70

	CONTENTS	v
G.	Results	71
	1. Values of the parameters	72
	2. Values of the retention functions	74
	(a) Calcium	74
	(b) Strontium	76
	(c) Barium	78
	(d) Radium	80
	(e) Radium modified	82
	3. Integral values of effective retention	83
	(a) Calcium	83
	(b) Strontium	84
	(c) Barium	85
	(d) Radium	86
	(e) Radium modified	87
H.	Need for More Data	88
Ref	ferences	89
∩th	her I C R P Publications	03

•

•