CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 1

2. FEATURES OF THE REACTOR 3

2.1. Void coefficient of reactivity 3
2.2. Design of control and safety rods 4
2.3. Speed of insertion of the emergency protection rods 4
2.4. Control of power 4
2.5. Instrumentation indicating the reactivity margin 6
2.6. Size of the reactor core 6
2.7. Capability to alter safety systems, plant trips and alarms 6
2.8. Subcooling of the inlet water 7
2.9. Primary coolant system 7
2.10. Containment 8

3. THE ACCIDENT 10

4. MORE RECENT ANALYSES OF THE FAULT SCENARIO 13

4.1. The scenario 13
4.2. Operating reactivity margin 14

5. VIEWS OF INSAG 16

5.1. Design 16
5.2. Operator actions 17
5.3. Safety framework 20
5.4. Implications of ignoring deficiencies 20
5.5. Importance of competent safety analysis 20
5.6. Deficiencies in the regulatory regime 21
5.7. General remarks on the lack of safety culture 21
5.8. Summary assessment 22

6. CONCLUSIONS ON FACTORS CONTRIBUTORY TO THE ACCIDENT 23

APPENDIX: MEASURES TO IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF RBMK PLANTS 27

ANNEX I: REPORT BY A COMMISSION TO THE USSR STATE COMMITTEE FOR THE SUPERVISION OF SAFETY IN INDUSTRY AND NUCLEAR POWER 29

I-1. Introduction 29
I-2. Brief description of the design of Chernobyl Unit 4 32
I-3. Violations of safety standards and regulations in the design of Chernobyl Unit 4 34
I-4. Causes and circumstances of the accident 51
I-5. Conclusions 84
References to Annex I 89
Bibliography to Annex I 93
Литература к Приложению I 95
Библиография к Приложению I 99

ANNEX II: REPORT BY A WORKING GROUP OF USSR EXPERTS 101

II-1. Brief description and features of the RBMK-1000 reactor of Chernobyl Unit 4 103
II-2. Latest views on how the Chernobyl accident occurred and developed 107
II-3. Measures to improve the safety of plants with RBMK reactors 124
II-4. Conclusions 132

LIST OF ACRONYMS AND SYMBOLS 133

MEMBERS OF THE INTERNATIONAL NUCLEAR SAFETY ADVISORY GROUP, WORKING GROUP MEMBERS AND ASSOCIATED EXPERTS 135