

CONTENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 11 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 11 |
| 2. MAJOR CONCLUSIONS | 11 |
| 3. SAFETY APPROACH | 12 |
| 4. PASSIVE SAFETY | 16 |
| 5. TECHNICAL RESULTS | 16 |
| 5.1 Plasma physics | 16 |
| 5.2 Plasma facing components | 17 |
| 5.3 Tritium fuel cycle | 17 |
| 5.4 Nuclear engineering | 18 |
| 5.5 Radioactivity confinement | 19 |
| 5.6 Magnets | 20 |
| 5.7 ITER test program | 20 |
| 6. SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT CONCERNS IN TERMS OF DOSES. | 20 |
| 7. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE EDA | 23 |
| 8. SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS FOR SITING ITER | 26 |
| 9. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SAFETY R&D FOR ITER .. | 27 |
| | |
| I. INTRODUCTION | 29 |
| | |
| II. NORMAL OPERATION EFFLUENTS ASSESSMENT | 33 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 33 |
| 2. ATMOSPHERIC TRITIUM EFFLUENTS | 33 |
| 2.1 Tritium effluents during normal operation | 33 |
| 2.2 Tritium effluents during maintenance operations | 35 |
| 2.3 Summary of atmospheric tritium effluents | 36 |
| 3. ATMOSPHERIC ACTIVATION PRODUCTS EFFLUENTS | 36 |
| 3.1 Activated corrosion products | 37 |
| 3.2 Activation of cooling water/inert gas/insulating gas | 38 |
| 3.3 Activated dust | 38 |
| 3.4 Summary of atmospheric activation products effluents .. | 39 |
| 4. CONCLUSIONS | 39 |
| | |
| III. ACCIDENT SCENARIOS | 41 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 41 |
| 2. ASSUMPTIONS | 41 |

| | | | |
|--|----|---|-----|
| 3. SCENARIOS | 42 | VI. MAGNET SYSTEM SAFETY | 73 |
| 3.1 Accident groups | 42 | 1. INTRODUCTION | 73 |
| 3.2 Ranking | 45 | 2. DEFINITION OF MAGNET SYSTEM OPERATING LEVELS | 73 |
| 4. CONCLUSIONS | 45 | 3. DEFINITION OF FAULTS FOR ANALYSIS | 76 |
| | | 4. RESULTS | 76 |
| | | 5. CONCLUSIONS | 81 |
| IV. PLASMA CHAMBER SAFETY | 47 | VII. EXTERNAL LOSS OF COOLANT AND COOLANT FLOW | 83 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 47 | 1. INTRODUCTION | 83 |
| 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF VACUUM CHAMBER, IN-CHAMBER COMPONENTS, SAFETY ISSUES AND FEATURES | 47 | 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF THE COOLING SYSTEMS, SAFETY ISSUES AND FEATURES | 83 |
| 2.1 Vacuum chamber and in-chamber components | 47 | 2.1 Vacuum vessel and in-vessel components cooling systems | 83 |
| 2.2 Safety issues | 48 | 2.2 Safety issues | 84 |
| 2.3 Safety features | 49 | 3. EXTERNAL LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENT (LOCA) ANALYSES | 85 |
| 3. LOSS OF COOLANT ACCIDENT (LOCA) ANALYSES | 50 | 3.1 Temperature transients in components | 85 |
| 3.1 Temperature transients | 50 | 3.2 Radioactivity mobilization and release from the cooling systems | 88 |
| 3.2 Pressurization | 51 | 3.3 Pressurization of volumes | 89 |
| 3.3 Chemical reactions (mainly carbon-steam) | 52 | 4. LOSS OF COOLANT FLOW ACCIDENT (LOFA) ANALYSES | 89 |
| 4. LOSS OF VACUUM ACCIDENT (LOVA) ANALYSES | 53 | 4.1 LOFA without plasma shutdown | 89 |
| 4.1 Temperature transients | 53 | 4.2 LOFA with subsequent plasma shutdown | 90 |
| 4.2 Pressurization | 54 | 5. CONCLUSIONS | 90 |
| 4.3 Chemical reactions (mainly carbon-air) | 54 | | |
| 5. RADIOACTIVITY MOBILIZATION | 55 | VIII. WASTE MANAGEMENT ASSESSMENT | 93 |
| 5.1 Tritium | 55 | 1. INTRODUCTION | 93 |
| 5.2 Activation products | 56 | 2. INVENTORY OF RADIOACTIVE WASTE | 93 |
| 5.3 Doses | 57 | 2.1 Activation products waste | 93 |
| 6. CONCLUSIONS | 57 | 2.2 Tritiated waste | 97 |
| | | 3. WASTE MANAGEMENT REGULATIONS | 98 |
| V. TRITIUM SYSTEM SAFETY | 61 | 4. WASTE MANAGEMENT PRELIMINARY SCENARIO | 98 |
| 1. INTRODUCTION | 61 | 5. CONCLUSIONS | 101 |
| 2. BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE TRITIUM SYSTEM | 61 | | |
| 3. FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS | 65 | IX. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE ITER SAFETY APPROACH | 103 |
| 4. GUIDELINES FOR TRITIUM SYSTEM DESIGN | 66 | 1. SAFETY APPROACH | 103 |
| 4.1 Multiple barrier containment | 66 | 2. PASSIVE SAFETY | 103 |
| 4.2 Fail safe design | 67 | 2.1 Passive features for loss of flow and/or coolant | 104 |
| 4.3 Redundancy and spares | 67 | 2.2 Passive features to prevent chemical combustion | 106 |
| 4.4 Isolation from torus | 69 | 2.3 Passive electromagnetic features | 107 |
| 4.5 Detector performance and reliability | 69 | 2.4 Passive features to control activation products | 107 |
| 4.6 Consideration of neutron induced activity | 69 | | |
| 5. CONCLUSIONS | 70 | | |

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 2.5 | Passive features to control tritium | 108 |
| 2.6 | Passive confinement features | 108 |
| 2.7 | Degree of passive safety in the conceptual design | 108 |
| 3. | RADIOACTIVITY CONFINEMENT | 118 |
| 4. | ACTIVE SAFETY SYSTEMS | 121 |
| 5. | SUMMARY OF ACCIDENT CONCERNS IN TERMS OF DOSES. | 122 |
| X. | CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 123 |
| 1. | MAJOR CONCLUSIONS | 123 |
| 2. | TECHNICAL RESULTS | 124 |
| 2.1 | Regulations | 124 |
| 2.2 | Passive safety assessment | 124 |
| 2.3 | Plasma physics | 125 |
| 2.4 | Plasma Facing Components (PFC) | 125 |
| 2.5 | Tritium Fuel Cycle | 126 |
| 2.6 | Nuclear Engineering | 126 |
| 2.7 | Radioactivity confinement | 127 |
| 2.8 | Magnets | 128 |
| 2.9 | ITER test program | 129 |
| 3. | RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ENGINEERING DESIGN ACTIVITY (EDA) | 129 |
| 4. | SAFETY CONSIDERATIONS FOR SITING ITER | 130 |
| 5. | RECOMMENDATIONS FOR SAFETY R&D FOR ITER . | 131 |
| 5.1 | Safety issues in the Physics R&D Plan | 131 |
| 5.2 | Safety issues for the Technology R&D Plan | 132 |